PDR Step 3: Building a Repository **Amelia Ishmael**

1. List of 50 files with checksum (Bagger manifest, see attached)

Description of repository's storage structure. (Updated PDR2, see attached)

```
Description/File hierarchy of repository's storage structure: Sample Group (50 Files)
Series 1
   Emails
      1696.partial.emlx
       1695.emlx
       1694.emlx
       1693.emlx
      1692.emlx
Series 2
      BackGateBookManuscript
             PublicationProof
                    00 BlackGateTheater20.docx
                    2017\_ausstellungsbroschuere-en\_tambellini-black-matters.pdf
                     1192_001.pdf
                     1968_03_08_Intermedia68_handbill.pdf
                     1_TheGate_Ads.pdf
```

3a. Three sample files, create AIP for each

```
<simpledc xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"</p>
  xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
```

xsi:noNamespaceSchemaLocation="http://dublincore.org/schemas/xmls/qdc/2008/02/11/simpled c.xsd">

```
<dc:title>00 BlackGateTheater20.docx</dc:title>
```

<!-- Repository Storage Location:

"data/50files/Series2/BackGateBookManuscript/PublicationProof/00 BlackGateTheater20.docx" -->

```
<dc:creator>Ishmael, Amelia</dc:creator>
          <a href="cc:rights"><a hre
          <dc:format>1 MB</dc:format>
          <dc:format>docx</dc:format>
          <dc:language>en</dc:language>
          <dc:description>This is the Publication Proof file for The Black Gate book
manuscript.</dc:description>
          <dc:date>2020-02-26</dc:date>
          <dc:type>Text</dc:date>
          <dc:subject>Aldo Tambellini</dc:subject>
          <dc:subject>The Gate Theater</dc:subject>
          <dc:subject>The Black Gate Theater</dc:subject>
          <dc:subject>experimental film</dc:subject>
          <dc:subject>performance art</dc:subject>
          <!-- MD5 Checksum: "6efc0db7a80ab923aff1dfda1c7abbe2" -->
          <!-- Preservation Level: "4" -->
</simpledc>
[...]
          <dc:title>Aldo Tambellini's BLACKOUT (1965).mp4</dc:title>
          <!-- Repository Storage Location: "data/50files/Series2/BackGateBookManuscript/Notes/Aldo
Tambellini's BLACKOUT (1965).mp4" -->
          <dc:creator>Tambellini, Aldo</dc:creator>
          <a href="mailto:</a> <a href="mailto:de:rights">de:rights</a> <a href="mailto:
          <dc:format>38.7 MB</dc:format>
          <dc:format>mp4</dc:format>
          <dc:description>This is digital transfer of a 16mm film documentation of Aldo Tambellini's
performance of Black Out at The Black Gate Theater.</dc:description>
          <dc:date>1965</dc:date>
          <dc:type>MovingImage</dc:type>
          <dc:subject>Aldo Tambellini</dc:subject>
          <dc:subject>The Black Gate Theater</dc:subject>
          <dc:subject>performance art</dc:subject>
          <!-- MD5 Checksum: "c31993d252263bae42323ed26566cfeb" -->
          <!-- Preservation Level: "3" -->
</simpledc>
[\ldots]
          <dc:title>1973.02.pdf</dc:title>
          <!-- Repository Storage Location:
"data/50files/Series3/CharlemagnePalestine/Archives/1973.02.pdf" -->
          <dc:creator>Amelia Ishmael</dc:creator>
          <a href="mailto:</a> <a href="
          <dc:format>523 KB</dc:format>
          <dc:format>pdf</dc:format>
          <a href="cdc:description">Chis is a PDF scan of the New York Times article "Phill Niblock Music Has">Phill Niblock Music Has</a>
```

a Piquant Mood" of Dec 15, 1973. The article mentions a performance by Charlemagne Palestine that was held in Phill Niblock's loft during the concert series "Week of Composers." This clipping was scanned by Amelia Ishmael in 2014 and is located in the Charlemagne Palestine Archive.</dc:description>

```
<dc:date>2014</dc:date>
  <dc:type>Image</dc:type>
  <dc:subject>Charlemagne Palestine</dc:subject>
  <dc:subject>Phill Niblock</dc:subject>
  <dc:subject>experimental music composition</dc:subject>
  <!-- MD5 Checksum: "8e060209f45cf8058121b11a46d3512a" -->
  <!-- Preservation Level: "4" -->
</simpledc>
```

3b. Representation Information (extension, PUID, and format identified for selected three sample files was identified by DROID) concerns, normalization/migration recommendation (LOC and The National Archives referenced).

File	PUID	Format	Concerns	Normalization/Mi	Representation
Exten				gration	Information
sion				Recommended	
.docx	fmt/ 412	Microsoft Word for Windows,	LOC: "DOCX is an acceptable format for	None.	See: https://www.lo
		Version 2007 onwards	a number of national archival institutions."		c.gov/preservat ion/digital/for mats/fdd/fdd00 0363.shtml
.mp4	fmt/ 199	MPEG-4 Media File	Open standard.	Not at this time.	See: https://mpeg.ch iariglione.org/s tandards/mpeg -4/video
.pdf	fmt/ 17	Acrobat PDF, Version 1.3	LOC: "PDF 1.3 has been very widely used. As of early 2019, it is still the version of PDF built in to MacOS 10.14 (Mojave)"	None.	See: https://web.arc hive.org/web/2 010121413291 2/http://partner s.adobe.com/p ublic/develope r/en/pdf/PDFR eference13.pdf

4. Preservation plan for repository:

The designated community for these archives is first personal, and finally in an academic library. Control of the digital contents would be extended to convert text files to non-editable PDF formats, and video &audio files to accessible formats. This collection's primary use will be

research. The author does not extend copyright licenses to repository outside of access, so the emulation or original environment will not be necessary.

The preservation actions for the files included in this plan are to be determined. The 3 files that I accessed appear to be stable formats, but older files were not surveyed. If pre-2007 Microsoft Word files exist within this archive they should be migrated to docx or PDF as soon as possible. There are multiple sound, video, and image formats that need to each be assessed as these three selected formats were. My preservation plan for the near future would include assessing these other (potentially risky) formats and migrating or normalizing files to more stable formats.

Reviewing and updating plans would include regularly monitoring file formats for obsolescence (which would effect entire repository rather than this limited collection), and assuring fixity of this specific collection by running checksum audits every year on a randomly selected group of files within this collection.

This plan should be reviewed every 5 years, or as needed.

5. Evaluation of my preservation plan:

At this time, I do not feel that there are any glaring disadvantages to this preservation plan. Though, at the end of this course (and the simultaneous metadata course that I am taking) I may learn of modifications that I should make!